**BECD Consultation Questions**

1. Do you agree with the way we intend to structure data through entities and project stages?
[ ]  **Yes**
[ ]  **No**
2. Do you agree with the list of data fields included in the 'Entity' table?
[ ]  **Yes**
[ ]  **No**
3. Do you agree with the list of data fields included in the 'Project stage' table?
[ ]  **Yes**
[ ]  **No**
4. Do you agree with the list of data fields included in the 'Materials' table?
[ ]  **Yes**
[ ]  **No**
5. Do you agree with the list of data fields included in the 'Energy & Water' table?
[ ]  **Yes**
[ ]  **No**
6. Do you agree with the list of data fields included in the 'Carbon' table?
[ ]  **Yes**
[ ]  **No**

**If ‘no’ for any of the above, please tell us why?**Click or tap here to enter text.

1. Which data fields about your projects would you want the option to hide from other users?

Entity Name [ ]
Entity description [ ]
Entity group [ ]

Entity subgroup [ ]
Entity section [ ]

Project Type [ ]
Single or mixed-use entity [ ]
Entity location [ ]

Entity surroundings [ ]

Project stage [ ]

Data of assessment [ ]

Entity assessment type [ ]
Construction cost [ ]

Primary entity size (based on primary normalisation unit i.e m2 of gross floor areas) [ ]

Secondary entity size (based on secondary normalisation unit, when applicable) [ ]

Number of storeys below ground [ ]

Number of storeys above ground [ ]

Structure, cladding and services [ ]

Make all available [ ]

1. Should there be an option to report other types of environmental impact in alignment with LCA standards (e.g. acidification potential) beside carbon emissions?
[ ]  **Yes**
[ ]  **No**
2. Is there any information particularly relevant to infrastructure assets that should be captured in the 'Entity' table?
[ ]  **Yes**
[ ]  **No**
**If yes, tell us why**Click or tap here to enter text.
3. Is there any information particularly relevant to infrastructure assets that should be captured in the 'project stage' table?
[ ]  **Yes**
[ ]  **No**
**If yes, tell us why**Click or tap here to enter text.
4. Is there any information particularly relevant to infrastructure assets that should be captured in the 'Materials' table?
[ ]  **Yes**
[ ]  **No**
**If yes, tell us why**Click or tap here to enter text.
5. Is there any information particularly relevant to infrastructure assets that should be captured in the 'Energy & Water' table?
[ ]  **Yes**
[ ]  **No**
**If yes, tell us why**Click or tap here to enter text.
6. Is there any information particularly relevant to infrastructure assets that should be captured in the 'Carbon' table?
[ ]  **Yes**
[ ]  **No**
**If yes, tell us why**Click or tap here to enter text.
7. Should project stages for infrastructure assets use a different denomination than the 'RIBA stages of work' currently used for buildings?
[ ]  **Yes**
[ ]  **No**
**If yes, tell us why**Click or tap here to enter text.
8. Is the UniClass 2015 classification for entities suitable to identify types of infrastructure assets?
[ ]  **Yes**
[ ]  **No**
**If no, tell us why**Click or tap here to enter text.
9. Which classification system for infrastructure elements would be the most appropriate to be used in the 'Materials' and 'Carbon' tables?
RICS NRM (currently used for buildings) [ ]
ICMS [ ]
UniClass [ ]
CESMM [ ]
Rail method of measurement [ ]
Specification for highways Works [ ]
Don’t Know [ ]
Other – please specify [ ]
Click or tap here to enter text.
10. Is there any other modification to the current data structure that should be implemented to make it more suitable for infrastructure assets?
[ ]  **Yes**
[ ]  **No**
**If yes, what modifications?**Click or tap here to enter text.
11. Is there a particular type of data that should be captured but it is not included in the current data structure?
[ ]  **Yes**
[ ]  **No**
**If yes, what type of data?**Click or tap here to enter text.
12. Any additional comments?
Click or tap here to enter text.